

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

SPENCER ALPERT,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC,  
et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C15-1164 RAJ

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Deadline to Provide Written Reports. Dkt. # 67. Defendants American Security Insurance Company (ASIC), Standard Guaranty Insurance Company (SGIC), Nationstar Mortgage LLC ("Nationstar"), and Harwood Service Company ("Harward") (collectively "Defendants") oppose the motion. Dkt. ## 69, 70.

"A schedule may be modified only for good cause and with the judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). "Mere failure to complete discovery within the time allowed does not constitute good cause for an extension or continuance." W.D. Wash. Local Rules LCR 16(b)(5). The Court ordered the parties to disclose expert witnesses and their reports by November 30, 2017. Dkt. # 62. The parties then stipulated to extend this

1 deadline to December 7, 2017. Dkt. # 66. On the day of the deadline, Plaintiff moved  
2 this Court for a last minute extension, stating that an expert witness had only just  
3 informed counsel that his report would not address the expected subject matter and would  
4 not be submitted by the deadline. Dkt. # 67 at 2. Plaintiff eventually submitted  
5 incomplete expert witness reports to Defendants on December 8 and 14, 2017. Dkt. # 70  
6 at 3. Therefore, Defendants just barely had the benefit of the reports in time for  
7 Plaintiff's deposition on December 14, 2017. *Id.* at 2.

8 Plaintiff had sufficient time to secure proper expert witnesses who would be  
9 capable of addressing the full subject matter in this litigation. However, Plaintiff  
10 contends that there were budgetary obstacles and rather extreme health issues that  
11 prevented such efficiency. Dkt. # 72 at 3-4. Moreover, he argues that Defendants were  
12 not prejudiced in that they ultimately had the benefit of the reports in time for Plaintiff's  
13 deposition. Dkt. # 72 at 5.

14 The Court finds that this situation falls within the margins of good cause and  
15 therefore **GRANTS** Plaintiff's motion for extension to the extent that the reports he  
16 already submitted may remain in the record. The Court will not afford Plaintiff  
17 additional time to prepare expert reports and will not accept any additional or modified  
18 expert reports. Moreover, if Defendants find that an additional deposition is necessary to  
19 account for the fact that they received the final expert report on the day of the prior  
20 deposition, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants this opportunity and orders Plaintiff to cover  
21 all costs of this deposition.

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

1 Plaintiff's counsel is cautioned that future delays will not be tolerated by this  
2 Court. The Court expects Plaintiff and his counsel to fully comply with the Court's  
3 Scheduling Order as well as all rules of discovery or suffer consequences including  
4 sanctions and exclusion.

5 Dated this 5th day of February, 2018.

6  
7   
8

9 The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27